


Birch K. / Whatcott C. The Solution

Reading excerpt

[The Solution](#)

of [Birch K. / Whatcott C.](#)

Publisher: Autor



<http://www.narayana-verlag.com/b13457>

In the [Narayana webshop](#) you can find all english books on homeopathy, alternative medicine and a healthy life.

Copying excerpts is not permitted.

Narayana Verlag GmbH, Blumenplatz 2, D-79400 Kandern, Germany

Tel. +49 7626 9749 700

Email info@narayana-verlag.com

<http://www.narayana-verlag.com>



Effectiveness of Homeoprophylaxis²³

Various studies have been conducted around the world utilizing homeoprophylaxis. First discovered by Dr. Samuel Hahnemann, HP has been responsible for initiating immunity to illnesses such as meningitis, Japanese encephalitis, Leptospirosis, and other infectious diseases.

Dr. Golden has been studying the effectiveness of HP in nearly 3000 children since 1985. His goal has been to evaluate HP for disease prevention and also to compare HP with conventional vaccination methods to determine which children would demonstrate better overall health outcomes. Previous independent studies revealed that vaccinated children showed an increase in certain conditions including asthma, eczema, ear/hearing conditions, allergies, and behavioral issues. Considering this, Dr Golden asked participants to complete an annual questionnaire to share data about disease exposure and incidence of these conditions. Participants were also asked what, if any, other vaccinations were used.

A very interesting phenomenon was observed. Those children who had undergone the HP program without vaccinations were in fact healthier (according to the parameters he studied) than those children who had received constitutional homeopathic care or were vaccinated.

Not only did these children not contract the diseases the nosodes were meant to prevent, but that they had fewer ear, nose, and throat conditions, fewer skin conditions,

Is Homeoprophylaxis Safe and Effective? Clinical Findings 59

Table 1: Comparison of HP Use, Program Supplied/Not Supplied by Golden

	All HP		HP Only	
	Golden	Not Golden	Golden	Not Golden
Number of Respondents	59	100	25	47
HP only	42.4%	47.0%		
Vaccination Also	33.9%	31.0%		
General protection Also	44.1%	42.2%		
Proportion with Asthma	5.1%	16.0%	0.0%	4.3%
Proportion with Eczema	17.0%	20.0%	4.0%	12.8%
Proportion with Ear/Hearing	15.3%	26.0%	8.0%	21.3%
Proportion with Allergies	23.7%	29.0%	16.0%	12.8%
Proportion with Behavioral issues	8.5%	12.0%	0.0%	6.4%
Proportion with Measles	6.8%	18.0%	0.0%	12.8%
Proportion with Whooping cough	10.2%	17.0%	0.0%	17.0%
Proportion with Mumps	1.7%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%

All HP refers to those children who received HP, some vaccines and constitutional homeopathy.
 HP Only refers to children who only received HP.

allergies, asthma or behavioral conditions than vaccinated children.

This result makes us consider various possible explanations for this outcome. Either these children

Table 1 shows the children in Golden's study who received only HP (HP Only) and those who received HP (All HP) plus some vaccines and constitutional homeopathic treatment. Table 2 on the next page reveals the results of his fifteen-year study including both partially vaccinated children and those only receiving HP. Here we are able to see an average of 20% exposure rate to diseases covered by the program. 90% of children definitely exposed did not contract the disease.

This type of study is considered an effectiveness study. It uses real-world clinicians and clients, with some clients having multiple diagnoses or needs. The effectiveness of HP is determined by patient compliance, disease incidence versus exposure, and general outcomes on health status.

From these results we can conclude that the sequential application of selected nosodes (HP) both prevents the contraction of disease and stimulates a higher level of health in those otherwise left untreated or vaccinated.


Efficacy is determined by the evidence of antibodies in the blood to specific diseases. This is done by drawing blood to measure titers assessed after disease exposure, vaccination, or homeoprophylaxis. This type of study has not been conducted with HP due to ethical constraints. Efficacy studies require a double-blind control group, meaning a completely unprotected group exposed to disease and then tested for antibody levels

were impeccably healthy and the HP program had no impact on their health, or the use of the nosodes not only prevented the respective acute disease but actually removed the tendency to other non-acute disease conditions.

compared to those receiving HP. This type of study would then put those who were not protected from actually acquiring the disease in question.

Measures of Reaction & Effectiveness After Follow-up Surveys	Data Series			Totals
	Series 1-5	Series 6-10	Series 11-15	
1. Total responses previously vaccinated	708	817	817	2342
	73	102	110	285
	10.3%	12.5%	13.5%	12.2%
2. Definite reactions to remedies Reactions per person Reactions per dose	50	83	82	215
	7.1%	10.2%	10.0%	12.2%
	1.2%	1.7%	1.7%	1.5%
3. Definitely suffered from diseases covered by the main program	18	11	11	40
	2.5%	1.3%	1.4%	1.7%
4. Definitely exposed to diseases covered by main program	177	127	113	417
	25%	15.5%	13.8%	17.8%
5. Definitely suffering diseases after taking the appropriate remedy	18/177	11/127	11/113	40/417
	10.2%	8.75%	9.7%	9.6%
6. Definitely not suffering diseases after definite exposure and after taking appropriate dose	159/177	116/127	102/113	377/417
	89.8%	91.3%	90.3%	90.4%

Table 2: Summary of Results of a Fifteen Year Study into Long-Term Homeoprophylaxis



Birch K. / Whatcott C.

[The Solution](#)

Homeoprophylaxis: The Vaccine Alternative

122 pages, pb
publication 2012



order

More books on homeopathy, alternative medicine and a healthy life www.narayana-verlag.com